CP violation in modified bipair neutrino mixing and leptogenesis

Jun Iizuka, Yoshikazu Kaneko, Teruyuki Kitabayashi*, Naoto Koizumi, Masaki Yasuè

Department of Physics, Tokai University, 4-1-1 Kitakaname, Hiratsuka, Kanagawa, 259-1292, Japan

arXiv:1404.0735v1 [hep-ph] 2 Apr 2014

Abstract

We study effects of CP violation in a modified bipair neutrino mixing scheme predicting $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ near both 0.4 and 0.6 currently consistent with experimentally allowed values. The source of CP violation is supplied by charged lepton mixing accompanied by a single phase, whose mixing size is assumed to be less than that of the Wolfenstein parameter for the quark mixing. Including results of leptogenesis, which is based on the minimal seesaw model, we obtain the allowed region of CP-violating Dirac and Majorana phases, which provides the observed baryon asymmetry of the universe in the case of the Dirac neutrino mass matrix subject to one zero texture.

Keywords: Modified bipair neutrino mixing, CP-violating phases, Leptogenesis

Introduction: One of the important and unsolved problems in neutrino physics is understanding of the CP properties of neutrinos, where CP violation may occur. For three flavor neutrinos, CP violation is induced by one CP-violating Dirac phase δ_{CP} and two CP-violating Majorana phases α_2 and α_3. The CP-violating Majorana phases completely disappear from the oscillation probabilities and cannot be measured by quite familiar oscillation experiments [1]. Although the CP-violating Majorana phases can enter in processes of neutrinoless double beta decay, the detection of the Majorana CP violation has not been succeeded [2]. On the other hand, in the leptogenesis scenario [3], the baryon-photon ratio in the universe [4] is generated if CP-violating Majorana phases exist.

Recently, T.K. and M.Y. have studied the correlation between CP-violating Dirac and Majorana phases in the modified bipair neutrino mixing scheme without consideration of the leptogenesis [5]. The allowed region of these CP-violating phases, which is consistent with the observed neutrino mixing angles has been determined.

In this Letter, including leptogenesis analysis, we re-examine the allowed region of CP-violating Dirac and Majorana phases in a modified bipair neutrino mixing scheme.

Modified bipair neutrino mixing: The neutrinos have tiny masses m_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and exhibit non-zero mixing angles θ_{ij} $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$. There are theoretical discussions that predict these mixing angles in literatures [6]. The bipair neutrino mixing scheme is one of such mixing textures. The original bipair neutrino mixing [7] is described by a mixing matrix which is equipped with two pairs of identical magnitudes of matrix elements to be denoted by U^0_{ij} ($i.j$=1,2,3). There are two cases of the bipair texture. The case 1 of the bipair neutrino mixing ($|U^0_{12}| = |U^0_{32}|$ and $|U^0_{22}| = |U^0_{23}|$) is parameterized by U^0_{BP1} and the case 2 of the bipair neutrino mixing ($|U^0_{12}| = |U^0_{22}|$ and $|U^0_{32}| = |U^0_{33}|$) is parameterized by U^0_{BP2} as follows:

$$U^0_{BP1} = \begin{pmatrix} c & s & 0 \\ -t^2 & t & t \\ st & -s & t/c \end{pmatrix}, U^0_{BP2} = \begin{pmatrix} c & s & 0 \\ -st & s & t/c \\ t^2 & -t & t \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $c = \cos\theta_{12}$, $s = \sin\theta_{12}$ and $t = \tan\theta_{12}$. The mixing angles are predicted to be $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 1 - 1/\sqrt{2} = 0.293$, $\sin^2\theta_{23} = \sqrt{2} - 1 = 0.414$ and $\sin^2\theta_{13} = 0$ in the case 1 while the mixing angles are predicted to be $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 1 - 1/\sqrt{2} = 0.293$, $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 2 - \sqrt{2} = 0.586$ and $\sin^2\theta_{13} = 0$ in the case 2. It should be noted that the bipair neutrino mixing scheme does predict the larger value of θ_{23} consistent with its experimentally allowed value around 0.6 [8].

The original bipair neutrino mixing predicts $\sin^2\theta_{13} = 0$ which is inconsistent with the observation. It is expected that additional contributions to the mixing angles are produced by charged lepton contributions [8] if some of the non-diagonal matrix elements of charged lepton mass matrix are nonzero so that the reactor mixing angle can be shifted to lie in the allowed region.

We follow the modification scheme in the Ref.[5]. The modified bipair neutrino mixing U is given by $U = U^\dagger_\ell U_\nu$, where U_ℓ (as well as U_R to be used later) and U_ν, respectively, arise from the diagonalization of the charged lepton mass matrix M_ℓ and of the neutrino mass matrix M_ν. The neutrino mixing matrix U_ν can be further parameterized to be $U_\nu = PU^0_\nu$ with $U^0_\nu = \tilde{U}^0_\nu K$, where P is defined by $P = \mathrm{diag}(1, e^{i\phi_2}, e^{i\phi_3})$ with phases ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 and, similarly, $K = \mathrm{diag}(1, e^{i\rho_2}, e^{i\rho_3})$. As a result, $\tilde{U}^0_\nu$ contains a CP-violating phase of the Dirac type. The lepton mass matrices satisfy the relations of $M_\ell = U_\ell M^{diag}_\ell U^\dagger_R$ and $M_\nu = U_\nu M^{diag}_\nu U^T_\nu$, where M^{diag}_ℓ and M^{diag}_ν are, respectively, the diagonal mass matrix of charged leptons and of neutrinos. Flavor neutrinos, therefore, form a mass matrix M_f given by $M_f = U^T_\ell M_\nu U_\ell$. In order to estimate the charged lepton con-

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: teruyuki@keyaki.cc.u-tokai.ac.jp (Teruyuki Kitabayashi), yasue@keyaki.cc.u-tokai.ac.jp (Masaki Yasuè)

tributions to the mixing angles, we take the neutrino mixing matrix to be either $U_\nu^0 = U_{BP1}^0$ or $U_\nu^0 = U_{BP2}^0$.

The charged lepton mixing matrix U_ℓ can be parameterized by three mixing angles and one phase δ_ℓ. To be more specific, we adapt the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa like parameterization of the matrix U_ℓ [8, 9]:

$$U_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\frac{\epsilon_{12}^2+\epsilon_{13}^2}{2} & \epsilon_{12} & e^{-i\delta_\ell}\epsilon_{13} \\ -\epsilon_{12}-\epsilon_{23}\epsilon_{13}e^{i\delta_\ell} & 1-\frac{\epsilon_{12}^2+\epsilon_{23}^2}{2} & \epsilon_{23} \\ \epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{23}-e^{i\delta_\ell}\epsilon_{13} & -\epsilon_{23}-\epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{13}e^{i\delta_\ell} & 1-\frac{\epsilon_{23}^2+\epsilon_{13}^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where ϵ_{ij} $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ is small parameter having magnitude of the order of Wolfenstein parameter ~ 0.227 for the quark mixing or less. The most simple case will be obtained in the case of $\epsilon_{12} = \epsilon_{23} = \epsilon_{13} = \epsilon$. Hereafter, we consider this simple case. We note that the unitarity problem with Eq.(2) has been discussed in Ref.[5].

It is known that CP-violating Dirac phase δ_{CP} is determined by the Jarlskog invariant [10] $\sin\delta_{CP} = \mathrm{Im}\left(U_{11}U_{22}U_{12}^*U_{21}^*\right)/(c_{12}c_{23}c_{13}^2 s_{12}s_{23}s_{13})$. One can also find CP-violating Majorana phases α_2, α_3 to be [5]: $\alpha_2 = \arg\left(U_{12}U_{11}^*\right)$ and $\alpha_3 = \arg\left(U_{13}U_{11}^*\right) + \delta_{CP}$.

Leptogenesis: The tiny neutrino masses could be explained by the so-called seesaw mechanism [11]. In the minimal seesaw model [12], we introduce two right-handed neutrinos N_1 and N_2 into the standard model and obtain a light neutrino mass matrix by the relation of $M_f = -m_D M_R^{-1} m_D^T$, where m_D is a Dirac neutrino mass matrix and M_R is a right-handed neutrino mass matrix.

The baryon-photon ratio in the universe η_B can be generated by leptogenesis within the framework of the minimal seesaw model in collaboration with the heavy neutrinos and Higgs scalar. The recipes to predict η_B are given in Ref. [13] as follows:

(I)We assume that the mass matrix of the right-handed neutrinos is diagonal and real: $M_R = \mathrm{diag}(M_1, M_2)$ and that no phases are associated with the heavy neutrinos. The CP asymmetry from the decay of N_1 (we assume $M_1 \ll M_2$) is given by the flavor-dependent λ_α $(\alpha = e, \mu, \tau)$:

$$\lambda_\alpha = \frac{1}{8\pi v^2}\frac{\mathrm{Im}[(m_D^\dagger)_{1\alpha}(m_D)_{\alpha 2}(m_D^\dagger m_D)_{12}]}{(m_D^\dagger m_D)_{11}} f\left(\frac{M_2}{M_1}\right), \quad (3)$$

where $v \simeq 174$ GeV and

$$f(x) = x\left(1-(1+x^2)\ln\left(\frac{1+x^2}{x^2}\right)+\frac{1}{1-x^2}\right). \quad (4)$$

(II) The washout effect on λ_α in the expanding universe is controlled by $\eta(m_{1eff}^\alpha)$, where

$$\eta(x) = \left(\frac{8.25\times10^{-3}\mathrm{eV}}{x}+\left(\frac{x}{2\times10^{-4}\mathrm{eV}}\right)^{1.16}\right)^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

and $m_{1eff}^\alpha = (m_D^\dagger)_{1\alpha}(m_D)_{\alpha 1}/M_1$.

(III) For our adopted range of M_1, see below, the lepton number in the co-moving volume is calculated to be

$$Y_L \simeq \frac{12}{37 g_*}\left[(\lambda_e+\lambda_\mu)\eta\left(\frac{417}{589}(|a_1|^2+|a_2|^2)\right) + \lambda_\tau\eta\left(\frac{390}{589}|a_3|^2\right)\right], \quad (6)$$

where we take the Dirac neutrino mass matrix in terms of 6 parameters $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3$ as

$$m_D = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

(IV) The effective number of the relativistic degree of freedom g_* is calculated as [14]

$$g_* = \sum_{i=bosons} g_i\left(\frac{T_i}{T}\right)^4 + \frac{7}{8}\sum_{i=fermions} g_i\left(\frac{T_i}{T}\right)^4, \quad (8)$$

where T is thermal equilibrium temperature of the universe, T_i and g_i are temperature and number of internal degrees of freedom of the relativistic particle species i. We assume that all the particle species in the standard model were relativistic when the leptonic CP-asymmetry was generated by the decay process of the lightest right-handed neutrino N_1, while N_1 was heavy enough to be non-relativistic itself. We take $g_* = 106.75$.

(V) The baryon number in the co-moving volume is $Y_B = -0.54 Y_L$. Finally, the baryon-photon ratio is estimated to be $\eta_B = 7.04 Y_B$.

According to the condition of $\det(M_f) = 0$, at least one of the neutrino mass eigenvalues (m_1, m_2, m_3) must be zero in the minimal seesaw model [12]. We obtain the two types of hierarchical neutrino mass spectrum in the minimal seesaw model. One is the normal mass hierarchy $(m_1, m_2, m_3) = \left(0, \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2}, \sqrt{\Delta m_{atm}^2}\right)$ and the other is the inverted mass hierarchy $(m_1, m_2, m_3) = \left(\sqrt{\Delta m_{atm}^2}, \sqrt{\Delta m_{atm}^2 - \Delta m_\odot^2}, 0\right)$, where the squared mass differences of solar and atmospheric neutrinos, respectively, defined by $\Delta m_\odot^2 \equiv m_2^2 - m_1^2$ and $\Delta m_{atm}^2 \equiv m_3^2 - m_1^2$. We use $\Delta m_\odot^2 = 7.50\times10^{-5}\mathrm{eV}^2$ and $\Delta m_{atm}^2 = 2.473\times10^{-3}\mathrm{eV}^2$[15]. Since we would like to discuss effects of the CP-violating Dirac phase on the creation of lepton number Y_L, we may consider the renormalization effects that modify the magnitude of when is promoted to Y_L. It has been discussed that the renormalization effect is rather insignificant for neutrinos in the normal mass hierarchy [16], where we reside now. Moreover, because of the mass spectrum of the minimal seesaw, only a single Majorana phase is physically relevant. For the rest of paper, we use $\alpha = \alpha_3 - \alpha_2$ to denote the physically relevant CP-violating Majorana phase.

Sizes of CP-violating phases: To estimate sizes of CP-violating phases, we perform the numerical calculation with the following setups:

(I) The flavor neutrino mass matrix M_f described by six neutrino masses contains five complex parameters because of the condition of $\det(M_f) = 0$. If the Dirac mass matrix m_D, which contains six complex parameters, has so-called one zero texture [17], we can analytically express the Dirac mass matrix elements in m_D in terms of the light neutrino masses in M_f. We assume that either one of a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3 is equal to zero. The case with $a_3 = 0$ should be omitted to avoid divergence arising from Eq.(5) and Eq.(6).



Figure 1: The mutual dependence of $(\delta_{CP}, \delta_\ell)$ in the case 1.



Figure 2: Same as Figure 1 but in the case 2.



Figure 3: The mutual dependence of (M_1, δ_{CP}) in the case 1.



Figure 4: Same as Figure 3 but in the case 2.

(II) For an input mass parameter M_1, we consider the case of $M_1 \lesssim 10^{12}$ GeV, where flavor effects are relevant [13]. The heavier neutrino mass M_2 is fixed to be $M_2 = 10^3 M_1$. Other input parameters are taken to vary in the range of $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 0.227$ and $-\pi \leq \phi_2, \phi_3, \delta_\ell \leq \pi$.

(III) Remaining input parameters are the 1σ data of neutrino mixing angles [15], e.g., $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.302^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$ and $\sin^2\theta_{13} = 0.0227^{+0.0023}_{-0.0024}$ as well as $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.413^{+0.037}_{-0.025}$ for the case 1 and $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.594^{+0.021}_{-0.022}$ for the case 2 together with the observed baryon-photon ratio $\eta_B = 6.160^{+0.153}_{-0.156} \times 10^{-10}$ [4].

(IV) In order to ensure the thermal leptogenesis to be the source of the baryon asymmetry in the universe, the reheating temperature after inflation must have been greater than the mass scale of the lightest right-handed neutrino [18]. Hence the lower bound on the reheating temperature must be greater than $\sim 10^{10}$ GeV. However, this high reheating temperature is not suitable for supersymmetric (SUSY) theories because it may lead to an overproduction of light supersymmetric particles, such a gravitino after inflation [18]. We are not considering this problem here and are limiting discussion on non-SUSY cases.

Figure 1 for the case 1 (BP1) and Figure 2 for the case 2



Figure 5: The mutual dependence of (δ_{CP}, α) in the case 1.



Figure 6: Same as Figure 5 but in the case 2.

(BP2) show how δ_{CP} in the neutrino mixing is correlated with δ_ℓ in the charged-lepton mixing. In these figures, $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 0$ and so on described in the bottom right corner specify the position of the zero element of the texture one zero Dirac mass matrix m_D. The almost entire range of δ_ℓ provides δ_{CP}, which is constrained to yield the observed η_B. Roughly speaking, we find that, for the wider region of the allowed δ_{CP}, the region of δ_{CP} in the case 1 is complementary to the one in the case 2. This trend cannot be observed in other figures, where each figure is a function of δ_{CP}.

Figure 3 shows the mutual dependence of the mass of right-handed neutrino M_1 and CP-violating phases δ_{CP} in the case 1 of the modified bipair neutrino mixing. Figures 4 shows the same as Figures 3 but in the case 2. We find that M_1 is constrained as follows:

- $M_1 \lesssim 1 - 5 \times 10^{11}$ GeV in the case 1,
- $M_1 \lesssim 0.5 - 7 \times 10^{11}$ GeV in the case 2,
- More stringent constraint of $M_1 \gtrsim$ several $\times 10^{11}$ GeV near its maximal value of 10^{12} GeV is found for $b_2 = 0$ of $b_3 = 0$.

Figures 5 shows the mutual dependence of the CP-violating phases δ_{CP} and α in the case 1 of the modified bipair neutrino mixing. Figures 6 shows the same as Figures 5 but in the case 2. We see overall behavior of the mutual dependence of δ_{CP} [rad] and α [rad] as follows:

- in the case 1 for the all cases;
  - $|\alpha|$ approaches to its maximal value of $\pi/2$ as $|\delta_{CP}|$ approaches to its minimal value around 0,
  - $|\alpha|$ approaches to its minimal value of 0 as $|\delta_{CP}|$ approaches to its maximal value of $\pi/2$,
  - roughly speaking, δ_{CP} is scattered around the straight line of $|\delta_{CP}| = \pi(|\alpha| - 2)/4$,
- in the case 2 for $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 0$ or $b_1 = 0$;
  - $|\alpha|$ approaches to its maximal value of π as $|\delta_{CP}|$ approaches to its minimal value of 0,
  - $|\alpha_|$ approaches to its minimal value of 0 as $|\delta_{CP}|$ approaches to its maximal value of $\pi/2$,
  - roughly speaking, δ_{CP} is scattered around the straight line of $|\delta_{CP}| = (|\alpha| - \pi)/2$ except for $\alpha = 0$,
  - δ_{CP} takes any allowed values for $\alpha \sim 0$,
- in the case 2 for $b_2 = 0$;
  - $\delta_{CP} \sim 0$,
  - $|\alpha| \sim 0.5$ or $3\pi/4 \lesssim |\alpha| \lesssim \pi$,
- in the case 2 for $b_3 = 0$;
  - $\delta_{CP} \sim 0$ or δ_{CP} takes any allowed values for $\alpha \sim 0$,
  - $|\alpha| \lesssim 0.5$ or $3\pi/4 \lesssim |\alpha| \lesssim \pi$.

Summary and Discussions: We have assumed that CP-violating phases are induced by charged lepton mixing including the phase δ_ℓ accompanied by its mixing parameter ϵ, whose size is taken to be less than that of the Wolfenstein parameter for the quark mixing. The Dirac mass matrix is limited to one zero texture and M_1 is constrained to be: $M_1 \lesssim 10^{12}$ GeV ($\ll M_2$), where the flavor effects are relevant for the leptogenesis.

The following predictions are obtained:

1. The larger CP-violating Dirac phase around $|\delta_{CP}| \sim \pi/2$ favors for the suppressed CP-violating Majorana phase α around $\alpha \sim 0$ except for $b_2 = 0$ in the case 2, where CP-violating Dirac phase should be small in this case.

2. The smaller CP-violating Dirac phase around $|\delta_{CP}| \sim 0$ favors for the larger CP-violating Majorana phase α around $|\alpha| \sim \pi/2$ in the case 1 or around $\alpha \sim 0, \pm\pi$ in the case 2.
3. δ_{CP} is scattered around the straight line of $|\delta_{CP}| = \pi(|\alpha| - 2)/4$ in the case 1 or $|\delta_{CP}| = (|\alpha| - \pi)/2$ except for $\alpha = 0$ in the case 2 (δ_{CP} takes any allowed values for $\alpha \sim 0$ in this case).
4. The most stringent constraints on the CP-violating phases are obtained in the case 2 with $b_2 = 0$ as $\delta_{CP} \sim 0$ and $|\alpha| \sim 0.5$ or $3\pi/4 \lesssim |\alpha| \lesssim \pi$.

Furthermore, if the CP-violating Majorana phase is suppressed, the case 1 of the smaller values of $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ needs $\delta_{CP} \sim \pi/2$ while the case 2 of the larger values of $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ except for the $b_2 = 0$ case allows the nonvanishing δ_{CP} covering a wide range of $-\pi/2 \lesssim \delta_{CP} \lesssim \pi/2$. For $b_2 = 0$ in the case 2, δ_{CP} is also suppressed and the larger M_1 near its maximally allowed value is thus required.

References

[1] R. N. Mohapatra and P. B. Pal, *Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics*, 3rd. ed. World Scientific (2004).

[2] See for example, M. Agostini, et.al., (GERDA Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **111** (2013) 122503. For a recent review, O. Cremonesi and M. Pavan, arXiv:1310.4692 (2013).

[3] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **174** (1986) 45. For a recent review, S. Davidson, E. Nardi and Y. Nir, Phys. Rep. **466** (2008) 105.

[4] D. Larson, et. al., Astrophys. J. Suppl **192** (2011) 16.

[5] T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasuè, Phys. Lett. B **726** (2013) 356.

[6] For a recent review, G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82** (2010) 2701.

[7] T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasuè, Phys. Lett. B **696** (2011) 478.

[8] See for example, C. Giunti and M. Tanimoto, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 053013; Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 113006; Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **533** (2002) 85;

[9] S. Pascoli, S.T. Petcov and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 093007.

[10] C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **554** (1985) 1039.

[11] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B **67** (1977) 421; T. Yanagida, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Unified Theory and Baryon Number in the Universe*, KEK, 1979, edited by O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto (KEK report 79-18, 1979), p.95; Prog. Theor. Phys. **64** (1980) 1870; M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, in *Supergravity, Proceedings of the Supergravity Workshop*, Stony Brook, 1979, edited by P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D.Z. Freedmann (North-Holland, Amsterdam 1979), p.315; S.L. Glashow, in *Proceedings of the 1979 Cargèse Summer Institute on Quarks and Leptons*, Cargèse, 1979, edited by M. Lèvy, J.-L. Basdevant, D. Speiser, J. Weyers, R. Gastmans, and M. Jacob (Plenum Press, New York, 1980), p.687; R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic̀, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44** (1980) 912. See also, P. Minkowski, in *Proceedings of the XI International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes in Venice*, Venice, 2005, edited by M. Baldo Ceolin (Papergraf S.p.A, Italy, 2005), p.7.

[12] T. Endoh, S. Kaneko, S.K. Kang, T. Morozumi, and M.Tanimoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 231601; P.H. Frampton, S.L. Glashow, and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **548** (2002) 119; M. Raidal and A. Strumia, Phys. Lett. B **553** (2003) 72; R.G. Felipe, F.R. Joaquim, and B.M. Nobre, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 085009.

[13] A. Abada, S. Davidson, F.-X. Josse-Michaux, M. Losada, and A. Riotto, JCAP **04** (2006) 004; E. Nardi, Y. Nir, E. Roulet, and J. Racker, JHEP **01** (2006) 164; A. Abada, S. Davidson, A. Ibarra, F. X. Josse-Michaux, M. Losada, and A. Riotto, JHEP **09** (2006) 010. See also, M. Yasuè, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 116011.

[14] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, The Early Universe (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1990).

[15] M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado and T. Schwetz, JHEP **12** (2012) 123.

[16] See, for example, S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner, and M. Ratz, Nucl. Phys. B **674** (2003) 401; J. W. Mei and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 073003; S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner, M. Ratz, and M. A. Schmidt, JHEP **03** (2005) 024; R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 057301; J. W. Mei, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 073012.Phys. Rev. D 71, 073012 (2005); S. Luo, J. W. Mei, and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 053014; S. Luo and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **632** (2006) 341.

[17] See for example, P. H. Frampton, S. L. Glashow and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **548** (2002) 119; A. Ibarra and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B **591** (2004) 285; M. Fukugita, Y. S., M. Tanimoto and T. T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 294.

[18] W. Buchmüller, R. D. Peccei and T. Yanagida, *Leptogenesis as the origin of matter*, arXiv: hep-ph/0502169.